9-27-90

(b)(6), Chairman CLCAC Colbert, WA 99005 Gretchen Sahmidt

RE: Sampling Committee Guidelines

Dear (b) (6)

Something quite obvious jumped out at me last night when I got home from the CLCAC meeting. I was looking over my draft of the above Guidelines and realized that I must develope the criteria for sampling wells on a quarterly basis, 3 times/year or 2 times/year. I think that once a year is pretty much understood in the rest of the criteria, but I was shocked to realize that I had not specified the other. When the plan was set up in '87, the frequency was based upon the thoughts of Bruce, (b)(6) & (b)(6) using the information available from past test data per well.

This additional criteria should probably be inserted on page 2, between present #2 and #3. --- If I even get a chance to present the damn thing. Perhaps Section VII of the CD should be included, also, since other excerpts of the Alt. Water section is included.

It is interesting to note that the 8-3-90 Sampling Committee meeting minutes state that "County staff will submit to the Committee at the next meeting a procedures for domestic well water sampling that will enhance the QA and QC" Not one thing was presented by "County Staff" to the Committee at the next meeting which was 8-24-90. And yet, the County can't seem to see why things are like they are with regard to leadership in these proceedings.

I will review your comments to my draft guidelines and get back to you with my comments as soon as possible. Before I submit them, if that opportunity arises, I hope to have some serious dicussions with you and (b)

It has been a week now since Dean told me that he would have a draft of his redition for the guidelines in the mail to me and the other Sampling Committee members. Why is it apparent to me that the Sampling Committee - thus the citizens - are being - or going to be- on the short end of the stick before all of this is over -?

I highly resented Deans insinuation that the Sampling Committee was abusing its authority with regard to requests for well tests outside of the designated testing area. This is not the first time that he has taken things completely out of context and I feel very uncomfortable with this. I appreciate the fact that (b) will try to attend the next few Committee meetings with me thus putting us in the position of getting feedback to the CLCAC that is not strickly my point of view.

Thanks for your support.

France

(b) (6

Gretchen Schmidt

USEPA SF